

PSYC 302: Advanced Research Methods
Queen's University - Winter 2018
Monday 8:30 – 9:50 Biosci 1103
Thursday 10:00 – 11:20 Biosci 1103

Instructor: Dr. Tom Hollenstein (tom.hollenstein@queensu.ca) Craine 220

Coordinator TA: Cindy Xiao (11cpx@queensu.ca)

Head Lab TA: Simone Cunningham (0scc6@queensu.ca)

Lab Sections (Humphrey 219):

Tuesday 8:30-11:30am lab section 005: Mohammed Albaghdadi (12ma73@queensu.ca)

Tuesday 11:30am-2:30pm lab section 004: Andrew Nguyen (nguyen.a@queensu.ca)

Tuesday 2:30-5:30pm Lab section 003: Abi Muere (abigail.muere@queensu.ca)

Wednesday 8:30-11:30am Lab section 002: Abi Muere (abigail.muere@queensu.ca)

Required Software: SPSS 24

Required Text:

Field, A., (2018). *Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics* (5th ed.). California: Sage Publications.

Howitt, D., & Cramer, D., (2014). *Introduction to SPSS in Psychology* (6th ed.). United Kingdom: Pearson Education.

Recommended Texts:

Abelson, R. P. (1995). *Statistics as Principled Argument*. Hillsdale, NJ: Laurence Earlbaum.

Pinker, S. (2014). *The Sense of Style: The Thinking Person's Guide to Writing in the 21st Century*. New York: Penguin

Great Resource:

Tabachnick, B. G. & Fidell, L. S. (2012). *Using Multivariate Statistics*. New York: Pearson

Course Description.

The primary purpose of this course is to prepare you to do an undergraduate thesis project in PSYC501. To do this, you will need to know how to write a proposal, one of the most important forms of scientific communication. To know how to write a proposal, you will need to know how to connect theory with research questions with hypotheses with study design and measures with statistical tests. Statistically, we will cover the **concepts, procedures, and interpretations** of several multivariate methods.

Learning Objectives

By the end of the course you will be able to:

1. Comprehend the basics of multivariate statistical methods
2. Utilize statistical resources to understand variations and extensions of these methods
3. Conceptually link research questions to appropriate methods
4. Write a coherent research proposal

© **Tom Hollenstein 2018** Materials generated by instructor of this course may not be posted to commercial course material sites without permission. However, they may be used and adapted, with attribution, for noncommercial purposes.

I assume you already have a good grasp of univariate methods (e.g., t-tests, correlations) and issues so that we may delve into the issues that arise when you need to analyze two or more dependent and/or independent variables. After covering the basics of data cleaning and reduction, we will cover each of the three major multivariate methods: factor analysis, MANOVA, and regression. These three are mathematically related to each other and most other techniques can be understood as variations of these three. Weekly labs will focus on SPSS procedures as well as clarify issues from lecture and the homeworks.

Although statistics are based on mathematical formulas that represent the relationships among variables, the intent of this course is to focus on statistics as a means of principled argument (Abelson, 1995). We use statistics to make inferences about the true nature of the world, to answer research questions, to test theories. Hence, the goals of the course are to make sure that you walk away understanding the **conceptual underpinnings** of each technique, the SPSS **procedures** necessary to conduct these analyses, and the skills to be able to critically **interpret** your own results and the claims of the research you encounter throughout your careers. Thus, this not a course of memorization but training in how to be an effective researcher.

A few other things for your consideration:

1. The range of expertise in the class is broad. I will aim for the middle level. Thus, advanced students may be interested in more detail and novice students may struggle a bit. That is the nature of such a course as this. However, I have always felt like I could take one introductory stats course each year and still get something out of it. Which leads me to...
2. Redundancy. The absolutely best way to learn statistics is through redundancy, a repetition of the same ideas, multiple presentations of the same material, re-experiencing a technique in different contexts, the reiteration of crucial details, and practice, practice, practice. To this end, I will emphasize what is shared among the techniques as well as try to present the information in several ways, when possible (i.e., equations, graphs, examples). However,
3. I am not going to be able to impart to you absolutely everything about multivariate statistics in this course for several reasons: (a) not enough time – each technique could take 13 weeks on its own; (b) each research question and data set can present relatively unique issues for analysis; (c) some issues are still hotly debated among the statistical intelligentsia; and (d) I simply don't know absolutely everything (my omniscience is less than complete but I do enjoy the challenge). However, this is true of any course and instructor. What I *will* be able to give you are the fundamentals so that when you need to run a complicated analysis next year, you know where to look for a refresher, or be able to understand how to interpret the results, or at least know how to ask questions of a statistician. Thus, we will need to be able to speak a...
4. mathematical language. There are about 20 symbols and a few labeling conventions we require in order to efficiently communicate about and manipulate multivariate data. Yes, this really is necessary. If you think you are not mathematically inclined, then think about this as a language with very few words. The goal is to get you *thinking* "multivariately". Still, no matter what I and the TAs do, some of you will be...
5. anxious. Statistics has a bad rap. As a result, many people approach it with fear and loathing. I have seen many students dig in their heels and put more effort into fretting,

resisting, avoiding, or blaming than just trying to learn. If this describes you in any way, I implore you to suspend your apprehensions, breathe deeply, come see me or your TA, look for alternate sources of information, ask questions, and keep at it. I promise, multivariate statistics are good for you.

Course Requirements.

Registered students are expected to attend every class and lab. Course texts are for your edification. The more you read, the better you will do in the course; the less you read, the worse you will do. The two SPSS books are required in that between the two you will be able to understand how to execute the statistical techniques in the labs. You are expected to read the relevant chapters before lab section on that topic. The other readings are very important resources and ones that I recommend to everyone doing psychology research. Abelson's perspective in *Statistics as Principled Argument* is dead on and will be something to refer to even after the course is done. Pinker's expertise as a language researcher and writer has culminated in his excellent book about writing, *The Sense of Style*. If you read these two books I can guarantee that your assignments will be better than they would have been otherwise. However, we will not be policing your completion of any of these readings. Research is not about policing or memorizing, but asking questions, reasoning, and testing. These texts are suggested for anyone who is serious about that end. Finally, I have listed my favourite multivariate text by Tabachnik and Fidell. I only suggested it as a resource, perhaps mostly for the future, for anyone pursuing multivariate research in earnest. It is a very clearly written text. I still refer to it from time to time.

Lectures. You are required to attend all lectures as these will provide the background necessary to succeed in lab, with homeworks, and writing proposals. Ten times throughout the term you will complete an in class "1-minute" paper and submit this to Turnitin *during* the class. These will be opportunities for you to articulate your expectations, relate the material to other classes and research areas in psychology, or ask a specific question. This assignment is helpful for me to understand what you are comprehending and for you to monitor your own progress. You will not be graded on quality or content but will receive 5 points for 8 of the 10 (i.e., you can miss two).

Homework. There will be 4 homework assignments, 30 points each. Each assignment will come with a data set (also on onQ) with several instructions for analysis. You must submit an **electronic copy** to the instructor BEFORE the start of your lab section (e.g., if it is received at 11:31 and you are in a lab section that starts at 11:30, it is late and will be marked down). More details about the homework procedures will be discussed during the first lab.

Lab Quizzes. During lab meetings you will have a short quiz to assess your comprehension of **the material covered for that day**. Out of 11 quizzes in total, only the best 8 worth 10 points each will count toward your final grade.

Proposals. You will be given two opportunities to practice proposal writing in order to prepare you for the final assignment, a 5 page proposal. Proposal 1 will be one single-spaced page on any question related to psychology containing: (1) a clearly stated aim or objective and/or research question (2) justification of a clearly stated hypothesis (3) appropriate design and measures and (4) appropriate statistics to test that hypothesis. You will get 5 points for adhering

© Tom Hollenstein 2018 Materials generated by instructor of this course may not be posted to commercial course material sites without permission. However, they may be used and adapted, with attribution, for noncommercial purposes.

to each of those 4 criteria for a total of 20 points. Each student will then randomly be assigned one peer's proposal for review. Peers will provide feedback within 1 week on each of the four points above. You will not receive points for submitting the peer feedback BUT you will be penalized 20 points for not submitting it by the deadline. Proposal 2 will be the same process except that the statistics must be multivariate. You can choose the same research question as Proposal 1 or a different one. Again, 5 points for adhering to each of the 4 criteria for a total of 20 points, and minus 20 points for not submitting the peer critique on time. Your final proposal will be a five page, double-spaced proposal, which will be graded by similar but more detailed criteria (rubric will be posted on onQ) but the TAs will apply letter grades. We will spend at least three lectures covering the art of proposal writing and there will be research proposals of various sizes, lengths, and purposes for you to peruse. The only way to learn how to write a particular form is to read that form – I am guessing that almost none of you have ever read a research proposal.

All written assignments will be submitted to TURNITIN. Plagiarism will not be tolerated.

Grading

In lecture 1-minute papers (8 x 5 points each) =	40	10%
Homeworks (4 x 30 points each) =	120	30%
Lab Quizzes (8 x 10 points each) =	80	20%
Proposal 1 =	20*	5%
Proposal 2 =	20*	5%
Final Proposal =	<u>120</u>	<u>30%</u>
	Total = 400 points	100%

***Note: -20 points if you do not submit peer feedback on Proposals 1 or 2 by deadline.**

Electronic Considerations.

The course materials will be distributed through onQ. Please log in before the second class to make sure that you have no problems with access.

We will be using SPSS for all analyses in this course. Currently, Queen's supports version 24. Versions 20 through 24 will perform all the analyses required. The lab in 219 has copies on each computer for you to use. However, getting the student version for yourself is required for the course.

EMAIL

If your question is about course content, then please use the onQ forum so that other students can see the answers and join the discussion. If you have a question or problem that is specific to only you, please email your lab TA or lecture TA *first*.

Course Schedule

week	Day	Date	Topic	Reading	Due
1	M	Jan. 8	Orientation		
	Th	Jan. 11	The Basics & Overview	Field 1-3	
		<i>Lab 1</i>	<i>Orientation</i>		
2	M	Jan. 15	The Art of the Proposal I		
	Th	Jan. 18	Data Management	Field 5-6	
		<i>Lab 2</i>	<i>SPSS Basics</i>	<i>H&C Parts 1 & 7; Field 4</i>	Quiz 1
3	M	Jan. 22	Data Reduction I	Field 601-609	Proposal 1
	Th	Jan. 25	Data Reduction II	Field 569-601	
		<i>Lab 3</i>	<i>Messy Data</i>	<i>H&C Part 2</i>	Quiz 2
4	M	Jan. 29	Data Reduction III	Field 569-601	Peer feedback
	Th	Feb. 1	The Art of the Proposal II		
		<i>Lab 4</i>	<i>Data Reduction</i>	<i>H&C Ch. 31-32</i>	Quiz 3
5	M	Feb. 5	GLM and CC intro	Field 12	
	Th	Feb. 8	Considering a thesis? 501 info		
		<i>Lab 5</i>	<i>Factor Analysis</i>	<i>H&C Ch. 31-32</i>	Quiz 4
6	M	Feb. 12	ANCOVA	Field 13-14	
	Th	Feb. 15	MANOVA	Field 17	
		<i>Lab 6</i>	<i>ANCOVA & GLM</i>	<i>H&C Ch. 27</i>	HW 1 Quiz 5
7	FEBRUARY 20 -24 READING WEEK NO CLASSES				
8	M	Feb. 26	MANOVA and DFA	Field 17	
	Th	Mar. 1	Repeated Measures	Field 15	Proposal 2
		<i>Lab 7</i>	<i>MANOVA</i>	<i>H&C Ch 28</i>	Quiz 6
9	M	Mar. 5	Mixed Models	Field 16	
	Th	Mar. 8	Multiple Regression	Field 9	Peer Feedback
		<i>Lab 8</i>	<i>DFA</i>	<i>H&C Ch 29</i>	Quiz 7
10	M	Mar. 12	The Art of the Proposal III		
	Th	Mar. 15	Multiple Regression	Field 9	
		<i>Lab 9</i>	<i>Repeated-measures</i>	<i>H&C 25</i>	HW 2 Quiz 8
11	M	Mar. 19	Multiple Regression	Field 11	
	Th	Mar. 22	PROCESS macro	Field 11	
		<i>Lab 10</i>	<i>Multiple Regression</i>	<i>H&C 33,34,36</i>	Quiz 9
12	M	Mar. 26	Logistic Regression	Field 20	
	Th	Mar. 29	SEM/MLM	Field 21	
		<i>Lab 11</i>	<i>Mediation</i>	<i>H&C Ch 35</i>	HW 3 Quiz 10
13	M	Apr. 2	Grad school info		
	Th	April 5	Review		
		<i>Lab 12</i>	<i>Logistic Regression</i>	<i>H&C Ch 40</i>	Quiz 11
	M	April 9			HW 4
	M	April 16	FINAL PROPOSAL DUE		FINAL

GRADING METHOD All components of this course will receive numerical percentage marks. The final grade you receive for the course will be derived by converting your numerical course average to a letter grade according to Queen's Official Grade Conversion Scale:

Assignment Mark	Numerical Value for Calculation of Final Mark
A+	93
A	87
A-	82
B+	78
B	75
B-	72
C+	68
C	65
C-	62
D+	58
D	55
D-	52
F48 (F+)	48
F24 (F)	24
F0 (0)	0

Grade	Numerical Course Average (Range)
A+	90-100
A	85-89
A-	80-84
B+	77-79
B	73-76
B-	70-72
C+	67-69
C	63-66
C-	60-62
D+	57-59
D	53-55
D-	50-52
F	49 and below

Academic Integrity.

Queen's students, faculty, administrators and staff all have responsibilities for supporting and upholding the fundamental values of academic integrity. Academic integrity is constituted by the five core fundamental values of honesty, trust, fairness, respect and responsibility (see www.academicintegrity.org) and by the quality of courage. These values and qualities are central to the building, nurturing and sustaining of an academic community in which all members of the community will thrive. Adherence to the values expressed through academic integrity forms a foundation for the "freedom of inquiry and exchange of ideas" essential to the intellectual life of the University.

Students are responsible for familiarizing themselves with and adhering to the regulations concerning academic integrity. General information on academic integrity is available at Integrity@Queen's University, along with Faculty or School specific information. Departures from academic integrity include, but are not limited to, plagiarism, use of unauthorized materials, facilitation, forgery and falsification. Actions which contravene the regulation on academic integrity carry sanctions that can range from a warning, to loss of grades on an assignment, to failure of a course, to requirement to withdraw from the university.

Turnitin

Queen's University has partnered with the third-party application Turnitin to help maintain our standards of excellence in academic integrity. Turnitin is a suite of tools that provide instructors with information about the authenticity of submitted work and facilitates the process of grading. Submitted files are compared against an extensive database of content, and Turnitin produces a similarity report and a similarity score for each assignment. A similarity score is the percentage of a document that is similar to content held within the database. Turnitin does not determine if an instance of plagiarism has occurred. Instead, it gives instructors the information they need to determine the authenticity of work as a part of a larger process. See also privacy statement at: http://turnitin.com/en_us/about-us/privacy

Accommodations

Queen's University is committed to achieving full accessibility for persons with disabilities. Part of this commitment includes arranging academic accommodations for students with disabilities to ensure they have an equitable opportunity to participate in all of their academic activities. If you are a student with a disability and think you may need accommodations, you are strongly encouraged to contact Student Wellness Services (SWS) and register as early as possible. For more information, including important deadlines, please visit the Student Wellness website at: <http://www.queensu.ca/studentwellness/accessibility-services/>

Extenuating Circumstances

The Senate Policy on Academic Consideration for Students in Extenuating Circumstances (<http://www.queensu.ca/secretariat/sites/webpublish.queensu.ca.uslcwww/files/files/policies/senateandtrustees/Academic%20Considerations%20for%20Extenuating%20Circumstances%20Policy%20Final.pdf>) was approved in April, 2017. Queen's University is committed to providing academic consideration to students experiencing extenuating circumstances that are beyond their control and which have a direct and substantial impact on their ability to meet essential academic requirements. The Faculty of Arts and Science has developed a protocol to provide a consistent and equitable approach in dealing with requests for academic consideration for students facing extenuating circumstances, which can be found at: <http://www.queensu.ca/artsci/accommodations>